

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DION DAWKINS,

24-CV-43-LJV-MJR

Plaintiff,

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

-v-

R & D CONTRACTING, INC. and
ROBERT J. BRICELAND,
Defendants.

Pursuant to the Text Order of the Hon. Lawrence J. Vilardo referring the above case to the undersigned for pretrial procedures and the entry of a scheduling order as provided in Fed.R.Civ.P. ("Rule") 16(b) and Local Rule 16, IT IS **ORDERED** that:

1. In accordance with Section 2.1A of the Plan for Alternative Dispute Resolution,¹ this case has been referred to mediation.
2. Motions to opt out of ADR shall be filed no later than **4/22/2024**.
3. Compliance with the mandatory disclosure requirements found in Rule 26(a)(1) will be accomplished no later than **5/30/2024**.
4. The parties shall confer and select a Mediator, confirm the Mediator's availability, ensure that the Mediator does not have a conflict with any of the parties in the case, identify a date and time for the initial mediation session, and file a stipulation confirming their selection on the form provided by the Court no later than **5/30/2024**. If the parties do not file a stipulation confirming their selection of a mediator by this deadline, the Court will select a mediator in accordance with Section 5.4(C)(2) of the ADR Plan.
5. All motions to join other parties and to amend the pleadings shall be filed no later than **8/30/2024**.

¹ A copy of the ADR Plan, a list of ADR Neutrals, and related forms and documents can be found at <http://www.nywd.uscourts.gov> or obtained from the Clerk's Office.

6. The initial mediation session shall be held no later than **6/30/2024**.

7. All motions to compel shall be filed no later than **11/15/2024**. If a discovery dispute arises, the movant must advise the undersigned of the dispute and request a conference by submitting a **motion in letter form** (copying opposing counsel) to the Court as soon as possible and no later than the deadline to complete fact discovery. See Rule 16(b)(3)(B)(v). The letter should be filed electronically in CM/ECF using the "**Motion**" event option (**Civil > Motion > Discovery**) with a notation in the filing caption that the letter pertains to a discovery dispute. Upon review of the letter, a conference will be scheduled with the parties to attempt to resolve the issue informally. If the dispute is not resolved informally, the parties will be given the opportunity to file a formal motion. This informal discovery dispute resolution process is not expedited motion practice. Therefore, letter submissions should provide a brief overview of the issue with supporting documentation and the parties' positions. Parties do not waive arguments by failing to raise them in their letter submissions.

8. All fact discovery shall be completed no later than **12/15/2024**.

9. The parties shall identify any expert witnesses and produce their reports pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2) as follows:

(a) plaintiff shall identify any expert witnesses and produce their reports by **1/30/2025**;

(b) defendants shall identify any expert witnesses and produce their reports by **2/28/2025**;

(c) plaintiff shall identify any rebuttal expert witnesses and produce their reports by **3/15/2025**; and

(d) defendants shall identify any rebuttal expert witnesses and produce their reports **3/30/2025**.

10. All expert depositions shall be completed no later than **5/15/2025**.

11. Pretrial dispositive motions, if any, shall be filed no later than **7/15/2025**. Such motions shall be made returnable before the Hon. Lawrence J. Vilardo.

12. If no pretrial dispositive motions are filed, the parties to shall contact the chambers of Judge Vilardo by **7/18/2025** to set a date for trial.

13. Mediation sessions may continue, in accordance with Section 5.11 of the ADR Plan, until **7/15/2025**. The continuation of mediation sessions shall not delay or defer other dates set forth in this Case Management Order.

No extension of the above deadlines will be granted except upon written application, filed prior to the deadline, showing good cause for the extension. The parties are reminded that “a finding of ‘good cause’ depends on the diligence of the moving party”. *Parker v. Columbia Pictures Industries*, 204 F.3d 326, 340 (2d Cir. 2000).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 10, 2024
Buffalo, New York

/s/ Michael J. Roemer
MICHAEL J. ROEMER
United States Magistrate Judge